PREVALENCE OF TICK-BORNE DISEASES IN PUNJAB (PAKISTAN) AND HEMATOLOGICAL PROFILE OF ANAPLASMA MARGINALE INFECTION IN INDIGENOUS AND CROSSBRED CATTLE

F. A. Atif, M. S. Khan^{*}, H. J. Iqbal^{**} and T. Roheen^{***}

University College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha-40100, Pakistan * Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore-54600, Pakistan **Livestock & Dairy Development Department, Government of the Punjab, Lahore-54600, Pakistan ***Department of Chemistry, University of Sargodha-40100, Pakistan Corresponding author's e-mail: atifvet 2000@vahoo.com

ABSTRACT: The study was designed to investigate the prevalence and distribution of tick-borne diseases (TBDs) and hematological parameters associated with *Anaplasma marginale* infection in cattle. A total of 1050 blood samples were randomly collected from selected small holders and private livestock farms using multistage cluster random sampling technique. Microscopic examination of the Giemsa stained blood smears revealed an overall prevalence of blood parasites as 21.14%. *Anaplasma marginale* was the most prevalent hemoparasite (5.81%) followed by *Theileria* spp. (5.14%) and *Babesia bigemina* (4.76%), respectively. Chi square analysis indicated a significant association (P<0.05) between districts as well as between indigenous and crossbred cattle. However, a non-significant association between different age groups, seasons, sex and farm sizes was revealed. Haemolytic anaemia was the key clinical manifestation of *Anaplasma marginale* infection. It has been concluded that tick-borne pathogens were prevalent in selected districts of Punjab, Pakistan.

Key words: Cattle, Anaplasma, Babesia, Theileria, Punjab.

INTRODUCTION

Tick-borne diseases (TBDs) are mostly prevalent in tropical and subtropical regions of the world including Pakistan (Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004; Khan et al., 2004). Anaplasmosis is the most prevalent hemorickettsial disease of cattle in Pakistan (Khan et al., 2004). Earlier reports has mentioned the prevalence of Anaplasma marginale ranging from 4-75.5%, Babesia bigemina 1.75-7.2%, Theileria annulata 0.7-24% (Khan et al., 2004; Afridi et al., 2005 Rajput et al., 2005; Ahmad and Hashmi, 2007; Niazi et al., 2008). The prevalence varies from region to region and various factors determine the occurrence of the TBDs including age, sex, breed, tick density, season, geographical area and management (Kivaria, 2006; Magona et al., 2011). Hemolytic anaemia is the major clinical manifestation of Anaplasma marginale infection in cattle (Hofmann-Lehmann et al., 2004). Earlier reports cited above lack distribution of TBDs on the basis of age, sex, breed, season and herd size which may be of significance in understanding the epidemiology of TBDs. Therefore, the present study was aimed at investigating the prevalence of TBDs in Sargodha, Khushab and Rawalpindi districts of the Punjab.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A survey on bovine TBDs was conducted at Sargodha, Khushab and Rawalpindi districts of the Punjab, Pakistan from September, 2009 to August, 2010. A total of 1050 blood samples were collected from randomly selected small holders (n=90) and private livestock farms (n=12) using multistage cluster random sampling technique (Thrusfield, 2005). All the union councils in each district were included in the sampling frame. A total of 30 union councils, 34 cattle farms (30 small holders and 4 livestock farms) and 350 animals were selected as primary, secondary and elementary sampling units from each district. Sampling unit was indigenous and crossbred cattle of both the sexes. Animals were sampled in different age groups i.e. <1 year, 1-2 year, >2-4 year and >4 years. Prevalence was estimated using formula: $P = d/n \times 100$; where P =Prevalence, d= No. of animals found positive, n= Total no. of animals sampled (Thrusfield, 1995).

Blood samples were collected aseptically in a sterilized syringe from jugular vein for the detection of *Anaplasma* and *Theileria* species. Whereas, blood from ear vein was used for babesiosis. Thin blood smears were prepared as described by Houwen (2000). The blood parasites were identified as described by OIE (2004); OIE (2008a) and OIE (2008b).

Hematological study: A total of 90 blood samples

(Sahiwal n=45 and crossbred n=45) were collected from Anaplasma marginale infected cattle and ten non-infected cattle (Sahiwal n=5 and crossbred cattle n=5) as control. The level of parasitaemia was determined by Giemsa stained blood smear. The hematological changes were studied at different levels of parasitaemia <7%, >7-15% and >15%. Total erythrocyte count (TEC), total leukocyte count (TLC), haemoglobin (Hb), packed cell volume, mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) were evaluated using haematology analyzer (Sysmex, PocH 100, USA) in infected and non-infected cattle.

The prevalence of TBDs was analyzed by chi square. Analysis of Variance was used to evaluate significant difference among hematological parameters at different levels of parasitaemia and pair-wise comparison of hematological parameters were performed using Tukey test. The hematological parameters between Sahiwal and crossbred cattle were analyzed using student's *t*-test. Statistical Package for Social Services (SPSS) version 13.0 was used for statistical analysis. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Out of 1050 samples, 222 were declared positive for tick-borne pathogens with an over all prevalence of 21.14%. *Anaplasma marginale* was the most prevalent (5.81%; 61/1050) haemoparasite followed in order by *Theileria* spp. (5.14%; 54/1050) and *Babesia bigemina* (4.76%; 50/1050). The percentage of tick-borne pathogens having mix infection (5.43%; 57/1050) were: *A. marginale* with *Babesia bigemina* (1.62%; 17/1050), *A. marginale* with *Theileria* spp. (2.67%; 28/1050) and *B. bigemina* with *Theileria* spp. (1.14%; 12/1050). Highest prevalence of TBDs was recorded in Sargodha (24.86%; 87/350) and lowest in Rawalpindi district (17.14%; 60/350). The prevalence of *Theileria* spp. infection was highest in Sargodha district while *Anaplasma marginale* was highest in Khushab followed by Rawalpindi district (Table 1). There was a significant association (P<0.05) between the prevalence of tick-transmitted diseases among Sargodha, Khushab and Rawalpindi districts.

The highest prevalence of Anaplasma marginale (9.52%; 20/210) and Babesia bigemina (6.19%; 13/210) was observed at 2-4 years of age while Theileria spp. infection (8.08%, 16/198) at 1-2 years of age (Table 1). Chi-square value indicated a non-significant association $(\chi^2 = 2.32, df = 6, P > 0.05)$ among different age groups. The prevalence of Anaplasma marginale and Theileria spp. infection was higher in female while Babesia bigemina were higher among male (Table 1). Statistical analysis revealed non-significant association (χ^2 =1.623, df= 2 P>0.05) among male and female animals. The prevalence of tick-transmitted diseases was higher in crossbred animals as compared to indigenous cattle (Table 1). A significant association (χ^2 =6.910, df= 2, P < 0.05) on the prevalence of TBDs between indigenous and crossbred cattle was found. Similarly non-significant association (χ^2 = 0.319, df= 2, P>0.05) was observed between small holders and livestock farms on the prevalence of tick transmitted diseases. However, higher prevalence was recorded in small holders (105/450, 23.33%) as compared to livestock farms (60/600, 10.00%).

The highest prevalence of cattle TBDs was recorded in summer season in all study districts. Seasonal prevalence of TBDs had non-significant association in all study districts (Sargodha, χ^2 = 6.824, df= 6, *P*>0.05; Khushab, χ^2 = 4.814, df= 6, *P*>0.05; Rawalpindi, χ^2 = 2.033, df= 6, *P*>0.05).

Table 1. Age, sex and breed wise prevalence (%) of Anaplasma marginale, Babesia bigemina and Theileria spp.
using Giemsa stained blood smear among cattle in Sargodha, Khushab and Rawalpindi districts, Punjab
Pakistan from September, 2009 to August, 2010.

Factor Variable		A. marginale (P/E*)	B. bigemina (P/E)	Theileria spp. (P/E)
Age < 1		3.14% (10/318)	3.14% (10/318)	3.77% (12/318)
1-2		7.58% (15/198)	6.06% (12/198)	8.08% (16/198)
>2-4		9.52% (20/210)	6.19% (13/210)	5.71% (12/210)
>4		4.94% (16/324)	4.63% (15/324)	4.32% (14/324)
Total		5.81% (61/1050)	4.76% (50/1050)	5.14% (54/1050)
Sex	Male	4.85% (13/268)	5.60% (15/268)	4.10% (11/268)
	Female	6.14% (48/782)	4.48% (35/782)	5.50% (43/782)
Total		5.81% (61/1050)	4.76% (50/1050)	5.14% (54/1050)
Breed	Indigenous	2.10% (11/525)	3.62% (19/525)	3.81% (20/525)
Crossbred**		9.52% (50/525)	5.90% (31/525)	6.48% (34/525)
Total		5.81% (61/1050	4.76% (50/1050)	5.14% (54/1050)

Age: χ^2 value= 2.32, df= 6, P>0.05; Sex: χ^2 value= 1.623, df= 2, p-value= >0.05; Breed: χ^2 value= 6.910, df= 2, p-value <0.05; *P= No. positive, *E= No. examined; **(Indigenous x Holstein Friesian)

Parasitaemia	No. of animals	WBC X10 ³ /uL	RBC X10 ⁶ /uL	PCV %	Hb g/dL	MCV(fL)	MCH (pg)	MCHC
	ummuns	1110 / μ12	1110 / μ12					(g/uL)
1-7%	21	6.83b <u>+</u> 0.14	5.73b <u>+</u> 0.10	26.7b <u>+</u> 0.27	9.83b <u>+</u> 0.08	58.98a <u>+</u> 0.05	21.13a <u>+</u> 0.09	36.76a <u>+</u> 0.13
>7-15%	18	6.55b <u>+</u> 0.12	4.91c+0.07	24.9bc+0.71	7.94c+0.08	58.41b <u>+</u> 0.06	19.40b+0.14	34.32b+0.32
>15%	6	6.34b+0.12	4.47c+0.16	20.6c+1.66	7.03d+0.14	58.28b+0.04	17.50c+0.46	30.43c+0.97
Crossbred catt	le							
1-7%	16	6.56 b <u>+</u> 0.16	4.47b <u>+</u> 0.11	25.4b <u>+</u> 0.29	8.95 a <u>+</u> 0.09	59.39 a <u>+</u> 0.06	23.18a <u>+</u> 0.10	37.97 <u>+</u> 0.22a
>7-15%	22	6.43 b <u>+</u> 0.10	3.51c <u>+</u> 0.06	21.0c <u>+</u> 0.43	7.23 b <u>+</u> 0.12	59.29 b <u>+</u> 0.0	22.10b <u>+</u> 0.09	35.62b <u>+</u> 0.32
>15%	7	6.25 b <u>+</u> 0.09	3.38 c <u>+</u> 0.10	19.7c <u>+</u> 0.77	6.94 b <u>+</u> 0.34	59.22 b <u>+</u> 0.03	20.17c <u>+</u> 0.42	31.78b <u>+</u> 0.93
Healthy	5	7.43 a <u>+</u> 0.17	6.50 a <u>+</u> 0.08	32.4a <u>+</u> 1.32	10.02 a <u>+</u> 0.85	49.50 c <u>+</u> 0.18	16.53d <u>+</u> 0.08	33.41b <u>+</u> 0.07
control								

Table 2. Hematological parameters (mean	1 ± SE) in Anaplasma	marginale infected	Sahiwal and	crossbred	cattle
associated with different levels of	parasitaemia.				

Means with letters (a, b, c) denote statistically significant difference (ANOVA; P<0.05).

All the Sahiwal cattle showed a significant difference (P<0.01) in hematological parameters (WBCs, P<0.01; RBC, P<0.001; PCV, P<0.001; Hb, P< 0.001; MCV, P<0.001; MCH, P<0.001; MCHC, P<0.001) at different levels of parasitaemia. With the increase in parasitaemia and decrease in (RBCs, PCV, Hb, MCV, MCH and MCHC) blood parameters was observed. The values of the blood parameters in clinically healthy and *Anaplasma marginale* infected crossbred and Sahiwal cattle are presented in Table 2. All the crossbred cattle also showed a significant difference (P<0.001; RBC, P<0.001; PCV, P<0.001; Hb, P<0.001; MCV, P<0.001; MCH, P<0.001; MCK, P<0.001; MCH, P<0.001; MCHC, P<0.001) at different levels of parasitaemia (1-7%, >7-15 and >15%).

Microscopic examination of the blood smears showed nucleated RBCs, basophilic stippling and anisocytosis at 1-7% parasitaemia. No significant (P>0.05) difference was recorded between hematological values of Sahiwal and crossbred cattle for WBC, Hb, MCV and MCHC. Conversely, significant difference was observed for RBCs, PCV and MCH values between Sahiwal and crossbred cattle.

DISCUSSION

The epidemiology of TBDs has not been fully explored, despite the fact that they cause major constraint on livestock production and health in Pakistan (Khan et al., 2004). District-wise prevalence of tick-transmitted diseases was found significant. The major part of the study districts has a distinct agro-ecological zone that supports the significant association on the prevalence of TBDs among districts. Contrasting reports on the prevalence of TBDs have been published. The prevalence Anaplasma marginale infection in cattle from of Peshawar and Hyderabad regions have been recorded as 4.2% and 22%, respectively (Rajput et al., 2005; Afridi et al., 2005). On the contrary, higher prevalence of Anaplasma marginale 75.7% has been reported at two government livestock farms located at Islamabad and

Attock district as compared to the present study (Khan *et al.*, 2004).

Khan *et al.* (2004) and Afridi (2005) have reported comparatively lower (1.42% and 0.70%, respectively) prevalence of *Theileria* spp. in cattle on microscopic examination of blood smears. Whereas, Zahid *et al.* (2005) mentioned higher (24%) infection of *Theileria* species in exotic Holstein Friesian cattle. Previous reports lack distribution of TBDs on the basis of age, sex, breed, season and herd size. Moreover, there was difference of area and cattle breeds under study. The prevalence varies from region to region, host, management and agro-ecological and geo-climatic factors influence the prevalence of ticks and TBDs (Kivaria, 2006).

Zahid *et al.* (2005) reported the prevalence of *Babesia* spp. as 5% at government Livestock Experiment Station, Kasur district. Similarly, Ahmad and Hashmi (2007) have reported the overall occurrence of *Babesia bigemina* as 6.6% in cattle from Malakand Agency. While Afridi *et al.* (2005) has reported a lower prevalence (1.75%) of *Babesia bigemina* from Peshawar and adjoining areas as compared to present study.

The significant relationship of different breeds on the prevalence of TBDs was found. The findings of Khan *et al.* (2004) are in accord with our work who stated that crossbred cattle (19.4%) are more susceptible to TBDs than indigenous Red Sindhi (17%) and Dhanni (14%) breeds. The European breeds are more susceptible to TBDs due to higher infestation of ticks (Rodostits *et al.*, 2007).

The highest prevalence of TBDs was recorded in summer and lowest in winter. The highest abundance of the ticks has been reported in July (Sajid, 2007) whereas; *Hyalomma* spp. of ticks were the most abundant in June (Durrani, 2008). Durrani associated the prevalence of Theileriosis with tick abundance in June (Durrani, 2008). Ahmad and Hashmi (2007) have reported the highest prevalence of ticks and *Babesia bigemina* infection in cattle during the month of August in Malakand. This area lies in northern high land cooler region of Pakistan. Summer season starts earlier in Punjab as compared to the northern parts of the country in which tick season generally starts late. The prevalence of *Anaplasma marginale* in winter in the absence of vector ticks suggests mechanical transmission.

Extravascular haemolytic anaemia is the key sign of *Anaplasma marginale* infection in cattle (Kuttler, 1984; Ajayi *et al.*, 1978). Anaemia results due to phagocytosis of parasitized erythrocytes in spleen and bone marrow (Jain, 1993). Usually intravascular and extravascular haemolysis takes place simultaneously (Riond *et al.*, 2007). Along with the destruction of parasitized erythrocytes the destruction of non-parasitized erythrocytes also takes place due to immune mediated autolysis. Antibodies were produced against *Anaplasma marginale* infected RBCs as well as against its noninfected red blood cells. The level of parasitaemia and the percentage of anaemia do not have relationship during anaplasmosis (Schroeder and Ristic, 1968).

Increased MCV and decrease of MCHC is usually the indication of regenerative anaemia. The MCV was found higher in infected as compared to healthy control in both Sahiwal and crossbred cattle. Microscopic examination of the blood smears showed nucleated RBCs, basophilic stippling and anisocytosis at 1-7% parasitaemia. On account of that MCV had increased in Anaplasma infected cattle. The decrease of MCHC and increase of MCV was noticed as compared to healthy controls in both breeds. This classify the anaemia as hypochromic and macrocytic. The increase in MCV is usually the indication of regenerative anaemia (Riond et al., 2007). After rapid destruction of RBCs by phagocytosis the immature RBCs are released from bone marrow due to increase demand. The immature RBCs are larger in size than mature red blood cells explain the reason for increased MCV. It has been concluded that TBDs are distributed in selected districts of Punjab, Pakistan. There is a need for further investigations using advanced serological and molecular tools for the identification of tick-borne pathogens.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N. and H.A. Hashmi, A comparative study on the incidence of ticks and ticks borne diseases on local and crossbred cattle in Malakand Agency. J. Anim. Pl. Sci., 17: 56-58 (2007).
- Afridi, Z.K., I. Ahmad, G.Z. Khattak, Q Habib ullah and M. Jamil, Incidence of anaplasmosis, babesiosis and theileriosis in dairy cattle in Peshawar. Sarhad J. Agric., 21: 311-316 (2005).
- Ajayi, S.A., A.J. Wilson and R.S. Campbell, Experimental bovine anaplasmosis: clinicopathological and nutritional studies. Res. Vet. Sci., 1: 76-81 (1978).

- Alfonso, J., R. Medina, F. Fazzino and H. Caballero, Clinical and hematological changes in calves infected with *Anaplasma marginale*. Acta. Cient. Venez., 47: 50-7 (1996).
- Durrani, A.Z., Epidemiology, serodiagnosis and chemoprophylaxis of theileriosis in cattle. Ph.D Thesis. University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, p. 96, 102, 105, 122 (2008).
- Haider, M.J., Hematologic examination of cattle in Pakistan during haemoparasitic infections and chemoprophylactic activity of imidocarb, diminazene and oxytetracycline against babesiosis. Ph.D Thesis. Department of Zoology, University of Karachi, p. 199 (1991).
- Hofmann-Lehmann, R., L.M. Meli, UM Dreher, E Gonczi, P Deplazes, U Braun, M Engels, J Schupbach, K Jorger, R Thoma, CGKDC Stark, B Willi, J Schmidt, KM Kocan and H Lutz., Concurrent infections with vector-borne pathogens associated with fatal hemolytic anemia in a cattle herd in Switzerland. J. Clin. Microbiol., 42: 3775-3780 (2004).
- Houwen H. Blood film preparation and staining procedures. Lab. Hematol. 6: 1-7 (2000).
- Jain, N.C., Essentials of Veterinary Hematology, 1st ed., (Lea & Febiger Publication, Philadelphia), p. 589–596 (1993).
- Jongejan, F. and G. Uilenberg. The global importance of ticks. Parasitol., 129: S3–S14 (2004).
- Khan, M.Q., A. Zahoor, M. Jahangir and M.A. Mirza, Prevalence of blood parasites in cattle and buffaloes. Pak. Vet. J., 24: 193-195 (2004).
- Kivaria, F.M., Estimated direct economic costs associated with tick-borne diseases on cattle in Tanzania. Trop. Anim. Health Prod., 38: 291-299 (2006).
- Kuttler, K.L., *Anaplasma* infections in wild and domestic ruminants: a review: J. Wildlife Dis., 11: 12-20 (1984).
- Magona, J.W., J. Walubengo, W. Olaho-Mukani, N.N. Jonsson, S.W. Welburn and M.C. Eisler, Spatial variation of tick abundance and seroconversion rates of indigenous cattle to *Anaplasma marginale, Babesia bigemina* and *Therileria parva* infections in Uganda. Exp. Appl. Acarol., 55: 203-213 (2011).
- Niazi, N., M.S. Khan, A. Avais, J.A. Khan, K. Pervez and M. Ijaz, A study on babesiosis in calves at livestock experimental station Qadirabad and adjacent areas of Sahiwal (Pakistan). Pak. J. Agri. Sci., 45: 209-211 (2008).
- OIE, Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals. Bovine babesiosis. Paris, France (2004).
- OIE, Terrestrial Manual, Bovine anaplasmosis. Paris, France (2008a).

- OIE, Terrestrial Manual, Theileriosis. Paris, France (2008b).
- Radostitis, O.M., C.C. Gay, K.W. Hinchcliff and P.D. Constable, Veterinary Medicine: A textbook of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs and goats. 10th ed., Saunders, USA (2007).
- Rajput, Z.I., H.U. Song-hua, A.G. Arijo, M. Habib and M. Khalid, Comparative study of *Anaplasma* parasites in tick carrying buffaloes and cattle. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B, 6: 1057-1062 (2005).
- Riond, B., M.L. Meli, U. Braun, P. Deplazes, K. Joerger, R. Thoma, H. Lutz and R. Hofmann-Lehmann, Concurrent infections with vectorborne pathogens associated with fatal anaemia in cattle: haematology and blood chemistry. Comparative Clinical Pathol., 17: 171-177 (2007).
- Sajid, M.S., Epidemiology, acaricidal resistance of tick population infesting domestic ruminants. Ph.D Thesis. University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan, p. 47 (2007).
- Schroeder, W.F. and N. Ristic, Blood serum factors associated with erythrophagocytosis in calves with anaplasmosis. Am. J. Vet. Res., 29: 1991– 1995 (1968).
- Thrusfield, M., Veterinary epidemiology, 2nd edition, Blackwell Science, Ltd, Oxford, UK, p. 39-41 (1995).
- Thrusfield, M., Veterinary epidemiology, 3rd ed., Blackwell Science, London, 231-32 (2005).
- Zahid, I.A., M. Latif and K.B. Baloch, Incidence and treatment of theileriasis and babesiasis. Pak. Vet. J., 25: 137-139 (2005).