

## EFFECT OF PRE-HARVEST APPLICATION OF GA<sub>3</sub> AND POTASSIUM NITRATE ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF PEACH FRUIT

H. Ayaz<sup>1</sup>, S. S. Shah<sup>1\*</sup>, I. Khan<sup>1</sup>, Abdullah<sup>1</sup>, B. Zaman<sup>1</sup>, F. Ali<sup>1</sup>, M. R. Rehman<sup>1</sup>, I. Khalil<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Khan<sup>1</sup>, A. Basit<sup>1</sup>, K. Khan<sup>1</sup> and M. Jamil, A. Sufyan<sup>1</sup>

Corresponding Author: jamilmatrah@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** The research study entitled “effect of pre harvest application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate on yield and quality of peach fruit” was carried out at Horticulture Research Farm, The University of Agriculture Peshawar during 2019. The plots were arranged using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with two factors. Three replications were used in the experiment. The experiment was conducted on already established peach orchard of almost 14 years old trees of Early Grande cultivar. The uniform size trees were selected and tagged for the experiment. The plants were managed under uniform cultural practices. The selected plants of peach cv. Early Grande were sprayed with various concentrations of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) (0, 20, 40, 60 and 80 ppm) and potassium nitrate (KNO<sub>3</sub>) (0, 1000, 2000 and 3000 ppm) at berry stage. Peach plants were sprayed with GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> and compared with control. The analysis of data showed that the foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> significantly influenced the yield and quality attributes of peach. Maximum fruit weight (111.98 g), chlorophyll content (51.00 SPAD), TSS (14.60 °Brix), fruit juice content (85.80 %), Fruit firmness (2.16 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>), yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (54.56 kg) and yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.548 tons) were recorded in plants treated with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. In case of KNO<sub>3</sub> Maximum fruit weight (101.20 g), chlorophyll content (49.46 SPAD), TSS (13.38 °Brix), fruit juice content (84.86 %), Fruit firmness (2.12 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>), yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (51.56 kg) and yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (14.829 tons) were recorded in plants treated with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub>. Minimum days to maturity (72.52) and minimum number of fruits kg<sup>-1</sup> (9.65) were found in plants sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> while in case of KNO<sub>3</sub> minimum days to maturity (76.92) and minimum number of fruits kg<sup>-1</sup> (10.62) were found in plants sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub>. Interactive effect of levels of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was also found significant for some of the parameters. It is therefore concluded that when peach Early Grande cultivar sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> and 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> the quality as well as yield of the crop were improved.

**Key Words:** GA<sub>3</sub>, Potassium Nitrate, Peach, Early Grande cultivar, Peshawar.

(Received 16.11.2022

Accepted 25.03.2023)

### INTRODUCTION

Peach (*Prunus persica*) ranked third among the top growing temperate fruits through the world. It belongs to the family Rosaceae which is mostly grown in temperate zone between the 30-40° N and S latitude. This area is referred as commercial production area for quality peach. It is most likely assumed that it was developed in Persia; however, China is considered as native country [1].

Peach is famous for its delicious taste, flavor and aroma comprised of 10-14 percent sugar, 2% protein and rich in ascorbic acid. Moreover, vitamins like A and B, iron, phosphorus and calcium could also be obtained. Around the world the most important types are free stone type while Early Grande, Florida King 6-A and 8-A are most popular cultivars dominantly grown in Peshawar and Swat region. Whereas in Baluchistan Golden Early, Shah Pasand and Shireen are grown. On the basis of market availability, Swat valley enjoys not only access to national market but international market as well. At present, peach showed adaption to other environments

like subtropical and even some of the recent varieties has low chilling requirements for reproductive growth. Besides its good productivity, the peaches grown in subtropical climate reported to be highly perishable, reducing its quality and ultimately export. In order to overcome this situation farmers usually prefer harvesting before the fruit reached to full maturity keeping in mind handling and transport facility. The operation may give some relief financially but these fruits never reach their full flavor, aroma and consumer acceptance. A considerable part of the crop was lost at post-harvest. The mayhem could be possibly resolved through extensive trainings of farmers about mixed farming, preparation of pickles from a part of fruits and other handling operations. Excessive bearing of fruits may prefer by grower but this phenomenon severely influences the size and quality causing poor returns or profit [2].

Physiological maturity function plays a key role in the post-harvest quality and shelf life because peach fruits are more susceptible to losses due to a rapid softening after harvest. Some plant growth regulators like gibberellins have the ability to avoid senescence

naturally. Which sometimes may also use for prolong harvesting and marketing seasons. Some researchers reported that pre-harvest application of GA<sub>3</sub> promote growth, improve fruit size and extends the shelf life of peaches. Extended post-harvest storage life for prolong marketing season through delaying the picking of fruits and late season cultivars [3]. To achieve this, the possible ways are conventional breeding of potentially prolonged fruit storage cultivars having the characteristic of late ripening or the appropriate use of plant growth regulators to enhance vegetative growth and maturity and fruit development mainly in the indigenous cultivars [4].

Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) is an important and extensively used growth regulator of plants to manipulate the development and ripening of fruits in number of crops including stone fruit [4]. It triggers several processes and pathways, depends on plant development and organs [5]. Recent studied showed that GA<sub>3</sub> is valuable in lowering the flowers density, which subsequently increase size of fruit and decreases the crop load in nectarine and peaches [6]. Gibberellic acid interrupts the development and ripening of internal breakdown in nectarines when applies at pit hardening [7]. However, at the pit hardening stage, it enhances the cell wall and directed maximum percentage of cellulose in the cell wall than the control fruits [8].

Several factors in the pre-harvest stage enhance the fruit quality. Therefore, pre-harvest cultural methods play a vital role in maximizing the fruits quality. One such practice is the use of plant nutrients like potassium, boron and calcium during fruit growth at pre-harvest foliar spray. Potassium increases the fruit firmness [9]. Foliar sprays of K have been successfully tried to improve fruit quality in peach. The use of potassium sulphate during foliar spray improves the fruit appearance and maximize the soluble solid contents of the fruit [10].

The most important and common source of potassium is potash muriate, other sources also work well in comparison with muriate. Excess intake of potassium inhibits magnesium and calcium uptake and is thus undesirable. Mineral nutrition also effects the fruit storing quality in several ways [11].

Potassium nitrate improve the effectiveness of photosynthesis in plants [12]. The increase in fruit size due to KNO<sub>3</sub> treatments may be due to the reason that K helped in increasing the entry of water into the cells by osmotic processes, and increased cell expansion which affected fruit size [13]. The increase in fruit weight with KNO<sub>3</sub> application might be due to the fact that N is extremely mobile and developing fruit acts as a metabolic sink for the nutrient elements. Further, nitrogen has been reported to prolong the phase of fruit cell division resulting in greater number of cells per fruit [14]. The potassium application increased fruit weight and fruit size in 'Kinnow' mandarin. Larger fruit size and fruit weight in 'Valencia' orange with dormant, post bloom and

summer foliar application of potassium were reported by Boman [15].

Keeping in view the above-mentioned peach problems and benefits of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate research is designed to study the effect of pre harvest application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate on yield and quality of peach fruit (cultivar Early Grande).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Experimental site:** An experiment was conducted to study the performance of foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate on yield and fruit quality of peach, at the Horticultural Research Farm, Malakandher, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, during the year 2019.

**Experimental design:** The experiment was conducted on already established peach orchard of 14 years old trees of Early Grande cultivar. The trees were selected and tagged for the experiment. The plants were managed under uniform cultural practices. Selected plants were sprayed with Gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate separately and in combination. The treatments were applied at fruit setting stage in three replicates. Two factorial Randomized complete block design (RCBD) was used for statistical analysis.

**FACTOR A (Gibberellic acid ppm):** GA0 = 0 ppm (Control), GA1 = 20ppm, GA2 = 40ppm, GA3 = 60ppm and GA4 = 80ppm

**FACTOR B (Potassium nitrate ppm):** P0 = 0 ppm (Control), P1 = 1000 ppm, P2 = 2000 ppm and P3 = 3000 ppm

**Studied Parameters:** Fruits from the treated plants were harvested manually in the first week of May and analyzed for various physio-chemical parameters.

**Days to maturity:** The number of days from fruit setting to physiological maturity were noted to find out days to maturity and their average was calculated.

**Single Fruit weight (g):** Five randomly selected fruit from the treated tree were collected and their weight was measured in grams with the help of electrical balance and the mean was calculated.

**Chlorophyll Content (SPAD):** Chlorophyll content was determined in leaves of randomly selected plants of all treatments through SPAD meter then their average was calculated.

**Number of fruits kg:** One-kilogram fruits from each treatment were selected and their number of fruits were counted and their average was taken for further analysis.

**Fruit firmness (kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>):** Fruit firmness was measured on two pared sides of each fruit using a penetrometer fitted with an 8-mm diameter plunger.

**Fruit juice content (%):** Fruits from each treatment were weighted through electric balance, their juice were extracted with juicer, the juice were weighted and juice content was found out by using the given formula.

$$\text{Juice content} = \frac{\text{Juice weighted (g)}}{\text{Fruit weighted (g)}} \times 100$$

**Total soluble solids (°Brix):** A wedge-shaped slice (approx. 5 g) was removed from each fruit. Slices were passed through an electric juicer for the measurement of total soluble solids by using hand refractometer.

**Yield tree<sup>-1</sup>:** All the yield of fresh fruits were weighed through electronic balance. The yield was calculated in kg plant<sup>-1</sup> after taking weight of individual plant tree yield.

**Yield ha<sup>-1</sup>:** The yield per hectare was found by using the following formula:

$$\text{Yield ha}^{-1} = \text{Number of plants ha}^{-1} \times \text{yield plant}^{-1}$$

**Statistical analysis:** A computer package statistic version 8.1 was used for analyzing field and laboratory data by ANOVA technique and the means were compared by LSD-test of significance, when the F-values was found significant [16].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of the studied parameters were analyzed, compared and discussed with the results of other researchers in this chapter. Tables from 4.1 to 4.09 represent the mean data while Tables from 4.1a to 4.09a shows analysis of variance (ANOVA). Original replicated data of the studied parameters in research are given in Appendices from I. IX.

**Days to maturity:** Data regarding days to maturity of peach are presented in mean table 4.1 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.1a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-I. The analyzed data showed that days to maturity of peach was significantly affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was found non-significant for days to maturity of peach.

The foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> significantly affected number of days to maturity of peach at various concentrations. Data pertaining GA<sub>3</sub> reveal that less number of days to maturity of peach (72.52) was noted in plants sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from days to maturity (77.17) of peach when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>.

The highest days to maturity (85.92) was recorded in plants of control treatment.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that minimum days to maturity (76.92) were noted when plants were sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from days to maturity (79.32) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on plants with 2000 ppm. The maximum days to maturity (83.94) was recorded in control treatments.

The above results are supported by the findings of Sankar *et al.* [17] who noted early maturity of fruits during their work effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of “Le Conte” Pear. This might be due to the fact that GA<sub>3</sub> and KMNO<sub>3</sub> stimulates the conversion of starch into sugar and ripen the fruits earlier.

**Chlorophyll content (SPAD):** Data pertaining chlorophyll content of peach are shown in mean table 4.2 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.2a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-II. The analyzed data showed that chlorophyll content of peach was significantly affected by foliar application of Gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> also significantly influenced the chlorophyll content of peach.

Data regarding GA<sub>3</sub> reveal that chlorophyll content of peach was found higher (51.00 SPAD) when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from chlorophyll content (48.40 SPAD) when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. The low chlorophyll content (43.65 SPAD) was recorded in plants received no GA<sub>3</sub>.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that maximum chlorophyll content (49.46 SPAD) was noted when plants were sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from chlorophyll content (47.90) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on peach plants with 2000 ppm. The minimum chlorophyll content (44.50 SPAD) was recorded in control treatments.

The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> for chlorophyll content was significantly affected. The highest chlorophyll content (55.80) was found when plants received 80 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> and 3000 ppm KNO<sub>3</sub>. The minimum chlorophyll content (42.10) was noted in control treatments.

The enhancement of chlorophyll content with increasing GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> may be due to the fact that these plant growth regulators play role in improving vegetative growth of the plant which improve the green pigment in plant leaves and increase in chlorophyll content take place. Findings of the present results are supported by the findings of Ahmad and Sharma [18] who performed their experiment on influence of GA<sub>3</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub> and I.A.A on performance of strawberry. Increase in chlorophyll content was also noted by Ingram *et al.* [19] when they carried experiment on peach.

**Table 4.1. Days to maturity of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	88.10	87.50	85.00	81.10	78.00	83.94 A
1000	87.10	86.10	83.20	78.30	74.50	81.84 B
2000	85.00	84.70	80.10	76.30	70.50	79.32 C
3000	83.70	82.30	78.30	73.00	72.52	76.92 D
Mean	85.92 A	85.15 AB	81.65 C	77.17D	72.52E	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 3.10

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 1.90

**Table 4.1a. Analysis of variance table for days to maturity of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	62.233	31.1167		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	49.400	12.3500	6.99	0.0003
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	8.000	2.6667	1.51	0.0042
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	65.000	5.4167	3.07	0.2175
Error	38	67.100	1.7658		
Total	59	251.733			

CV 2.07%

**Table 4.2. Chlorophyll content (SPAD) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	42.10	42.90	44.00	45.50	48.00	44.50 D
1000	43.50	43.90	46.30	47.80	50.50	46.40 C
2000	44.30	45.00	47.50	49.00	53.70	47.90 B
3000	44.70	47.30	48.20	51.30	55.80	49.46 A
Mean	43.65 E	44.78 D	46.50 C	48.40 B	51.00 A	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 1.11

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 1.30

LSD value for levels of interaction at 1% level of probability = 0.70

**Table 4.2a. Analysis of variance table for chlorophyll content (SPAD) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	27.734	13.8672		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	50.746	12.6864	2.40	0.0068
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	65.475	21.8251	4.13	0.0012
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	100.013	8.3344	1.58	0.0041
Error	38	200.759	5.2831		
Total	59	444.727			

CV 5.16%

**Fruit weight (g):** Data regarding weight of peach are presented in mean table 4.3 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.3a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-II. The analyzed data showed that the fruit

weight of peach significantly affected by foliar application of Gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was also found significant.

Data regarding GA<sub>3</sub> shows that fruit weight of peach was found higher (111.98 g) when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from fruit weight (103.88 g) when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. Minimum fruit weight (85.10 g) was recorded in plants received no GA<sub>3</sub> and is statistically similar to fruit weight (87.95 g) of plants that received 20 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that maximum fruit weight (101.20 g) was noted when plants were sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from fruit weight (98.10 g) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on plants with 2000 ppm while minimum fruit weight (93.06 g) was recorded in control treatments.

The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that the fruit weight was significantly affected. The highest fruit weight (119.00 g) was found when plants received 80 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> and 3000 ppm KNO<sub>3</sub>. The lowest fruit weight (80.10 g) was noted in control treatments.

The increase in fruit weight with the application of high doses of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was recorded in the present experiment which are in agreement with the results of Nomis *et al.* [20] who found improvement of fruits weight during their research on improvement of growth, yield and chemical composition of Apple (*Pyrus malus*) through plant hormones. GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> helps the plants to increase their photosynthesis which improve the availability of food and hence increase fruit weight.

**Table 4.3. Fruit weight (g) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)				Mean	
	0	20	40	60		80
0	80.10	85.70	93.10	99.50	106.90	93.06 CD
1000	83.70	86.90	95.20	102.00	109.00	95.36 C
2000	86.30	88.30	96.90	106.00	113.00	98.10 B
3000	90.30	90.90	97.80	108.00	119.00	101.20 A
Mean	85.10DE	87.95D	95.75C	103.88B	111.98A	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 4.10

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 2.50

LSD value for levels of interaction at 1% level of probability = 2.10

**Table 4.3a. Analysis of variance table for fruit weight (g) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	4.93	2.463		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	3946.97	986.744	1429.29	0.0034
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	168.53	56.177	81.37	0.0071
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	3298.50	274.875	398.15	0.0056
Error	38	26.23	0.690		
Total	59	7445.17			

CV 3.85%

**Fruit firmness (kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>):** Data regarding fruit firmness of peach are shown in mean table 4.4 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.4a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-IV. The analyzed data showed that fruit firmness of peach was significantly affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was found non-significant for fruit firmness.

Data regarding GA<sub>3</sub> shows that fruit firmness of peach was found higher (2.51 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from Fruit firmness (2.00 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> while lower fruit firmness (1.27 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) was recorded in plants of control treatment.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that maximum fruit firmness (2.12 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) was noted when plants were sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from fruit firmness (1.95 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on peach plants with 2000 ppm. Minimum fruit firmness (1.33 kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) was recorded in control treatments.

In the present study, increase in fruit firmness was noted with the increase in concentrations of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The enhancement of fruit firmness will help to prolong the post-harvest life of peach. These findings are in line with the findings of Shukla *et al.* (2007) who recorded increase in fruit firmness with the increase of concentrations of PGRs after performing an experiment on influence of PGRs on

growth, quality and yield of peach (*Pronus persica*) var. Florida king. This might be due to the fact that these

PGRs slows down the metabolic process of fruit which enhance their firmness.

**Table 4.4. Fruit firmness (kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	0.91	0.97	1.30	1.70	1.81	1.33 D
1000	1.00	1.30	1.57	1.90	1.97	1.54 C
2000	1.50	1.83	1.97	2.10	2.35	1.95 B
3000	1.70	1.99	2.10	2.30	2.51	2.12 A
Mean	1.27 D	1.52 D	1.73 C	2.00 C	2.16A	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 0.10

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 0.12

**Table 4.4a. Analysis of variance table for firmness (kg.cm<sup>-2</sup>) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	0.01233	0.00617		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	2.29667	0.57417	12.83	0.0031
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	0.46067	0.15356	3.43	0.0106
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	1.43267	0.11939	2.67	0.0565
Error	38	1.70100	0.04476		
Total	59	5.90333			

CV 14.93%

**Number of fruits kg<sup>-1</sup>:** Data regarding number of fruits/kg of peach are presented in mean table 4.5 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.5a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-V. The analyzed data showed that the number of fruits per kg of peach significantly affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was found non-significant for this parameter.

Data regarding GA<sub>3</sub> shows that less number of fruits per kg of peach (9.65) were recorded when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from number of fruits per kg (10.25) of peach when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. Maximum number of fruits per kg (12.53) of peach was recorded in plants received no GA<sub>3</sub>.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that minimum number of fruits per kg (10.62) of peach was noted when plants were sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from number of fruits kg<sup>-1</sup> (10.40) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on plants with 2000 ppm, whereas maximum number of fruits per kg (11.94) of peach was recorded in control treatments.

It has been noted that less number of fruits were found due to good when high concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> were sprayed on peach plants. Similar results were found by Mosa *et al.* [21] when they performed their research on quince. The present findings are also similar with records of El-Ese and Finder who worked on effect of plant growth regulators on mango. Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> improved fruit productivity and quality as compared to control [22].

**Table 4.5. Number of fruits kg<sup>-1</sup> of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	13.10	12.50	12.10	11.30	10.70	11.94 A
1000	12.80	12.30	11.50	10.10	9.60	11.26 B
2000	12.20	11.70	11.10	9.90	9.30	10.40 C
3000	12.00	11.50	10.90	9.70	9.00	10.62 D
Mean	12.53 A	12.00 B	11.40 C	10.25 D	9.65 E	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 0.11

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 0.13

**Table 4.5a. Analysis of variance table for number of fruits kg<sup>-1</sup> of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	0.7000	0.35000		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	11.7667	2.94167	8.40	0.0072
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	3.2500	1.08333	3.10	0.0093
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	45.8333	3.81944	10.91	0.0679
Error	38	13.3000	0.35000		
Total	59	74.8500			

CV 5.95%

**Total soluble solids (°Brix):** Data regarding total soluble solids (°Brix) of peach are presented in mean table 4.6 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.6a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-VI. The analyzed data showed that the TSS of peach significantly affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interactive effect was also found significant.

Data pertaining GA<sub>3</sub> reveal that TSS of peach was found higher (14.60 °Brix) when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from TSS of peach (12.58 °Brix) when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. The minimum TSS (10.37 °Brix) was recorded in plants received no GA<sub>3</sub> and is statistically similar to TSS (11.01 °Brix) of plants that received 20 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that maximum TSS (13.38 °Brix) was noted when plants were sprayed with

3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly not different from TSS (12.45 °Brix) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on plants with 2000 ppm. The minimum TSS (10.90 °Brix) was recorded in control treatments.

In the present study increase in TSS was recorded with increase in conc. of plant growth regulators such as GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>. This may be due to the facts that GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> enhance the metabolic conversion of starch and pectin into sugars which improve the TSS. GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> also improve the fast transformation of carbohydrates into sugars as well as rapid metabolites from source to sink such as fruits which ultimately increase the TSS of peach fruits. The same results were found by Shahid and Tariq [23] after performing work on effect of PGRs on Apple where they recorded increase in TSS with increase in conc. of plant growth regulators.

**Table 4.6. Total soluble solids (°Brix) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	9.83	9.90	10.70	11.50	12.50	10.90 C
1000	9.97	10.15	11.30	12.40	13.73	11.51 BC
2000	10.30	11.50	12.10	12.90	15.45	12.45 AB
3000	11.40	12.40	12.90	13.50	16.70	13.38 A
Mean	10.37 DE	11.01 D	11.75 C	12.58B	14.60A	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 1.12

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 1.20

**Table 4.6a. Analysis of variance table for total soluble sugar (°Brix) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	1.9923	0.99617		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	2.8427	0.71067	4.89	0.0082
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	0.3040	0.10133	0.70	0.0034
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	20.3493	1.69578	11.67	0.1304
Error	38	5.5210	0.14529		
Total	59	31.0093			

CV 3.87%

**Fruit juice content (%):** Data regarding fruit juice content of peach are shown in mean table 4.7 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.7a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-VII. The analyzed data showed that the fruit juice content of peach significantly affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was found non-significant.

Data pertaining GA<sub>3</sub> reveal that fruit juice content of peach was found maximum (85.80 %) when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from fruit juice content (84.65 %) when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. The minimum fruit juice content (80.17 %) was recorded in control treatment plants.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> indicate that maximum fruit juice content (84.86 %) was noted when plants were sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from fruit juice content (84.18 %) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on plants with 2000 ppm. The minimum fruit juice content (81.84 %) was recorded in control treatments.

In the current study increase in fruit juice content were found with increase in concentration GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>. These results are in line with the findings of Azlan *et al.* [24] who obtained high fruit juice content with increase in concentration of plants growth regulator. GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> helps the plants in the availability of nutrients as a result of which fruits get good size. The improvement in size enhances the pulp of fruits which give high fruit Juice content.

**Table 4.7. Fruit juice content (%) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	79.00	81.30	82.10	82.90	83.50	81.84 C
1000	80.10	83.20	83.90	84.10	85.50	83.36 B
2000	80.70	83.90	84.50	85.30	86.30	84.18AB
3000	80.90	84.10	85.10	86.30	87.90	84.86 A
Mean	80.17 D	83.22 DC	83.90 C	84.65 B	85.80 A	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 1.09

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 1.10

**Table 4.7a. Analysis of variance table for fruit juice content (%) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	7.355	3.6775		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	174.025	43.5063	9.98	0.0026
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	28.163	9.3878	2.15	0.0074
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	272.106	22.6755	5.20	0.1027
Error	38	165.589	4.3576		
Total	59	647.238			

CV 2.43%

**Yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (kg):** Data regarding yield tree<sup>-1</sup> of peach are presented in mean table 4.8 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.8a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-VIII. The analyzed data showed that the yield tree<sup>-1</sup> of peach was significantly affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> for yield tree<sup>-1</sup> was found non-significant.

Data pertaining GA<sub>3</sub> reveal that yield tree<sup>-1</sup> of peach was found higher (54.56 kg) of peach when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (51.73 kg) of peach when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. The minimum

yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (45.80 kg) was recorded in plants received no GA<sub>3</sub>.

Data regarding KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that maximum yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (51.56 kg) of peach was noted when plants were sprayed with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (50.52 kg) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on plants with 2000 ppm. The minimum yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (48.14 kg) was recorded in control treatments.

It has been found that application of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> improve the cropping of peach trees and consequently increase the yield [25]. It might be due to the fact that GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> helps in cell elongation and cell wall formation which cause increase in fruit size and



hence improve the yield tree<sup>-1</sup>. Similar results were found hormones on apple and pear. by Essa *et al.* [26] after doing work on the effect of plants

**Table 4.8. Yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (kg) as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	45.00	46.30	47.70	49.70	52.00	48.14 d
1000	45.70	47.10	49.00	51.00	53.70	49.30 c
2000	46.00	47.90	50.90	52.70	55.10	50.52 b
3000	46.50	48.30	52.00	53.50	57.50	51.56 a
Mean	45.80 e	47.40 d	49.90 c	51.73 b	54.56 a	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 5% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 5% level of probability = 2.00

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 5% level of probability = 0.86

**Table 4.8a. Analysis of variance table for yield tree<sup>-1</sup> (kg) as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	5.796	2.8982		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	50.094	12.5236	2.96	0.0321
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	3.782	1.2607	0.30	0.0287
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	86.436	7.2030	1.70	0.1057
Error	38	161.030	4.2376		
Total	59	307.139			

CV 4.08%

**Yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (tons):** Data regarding yield hac<sup>-1</sup> of peach are shown in mean table 4.9 whereas ANOVA is shown in table 4.9a. Original replicated data are given in Appendix-IX. The analyzed data showed that yield hac<sup>-1</sup> of peach was significantly affected by foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate. The interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> for yield hac<sup>-1</sup> was found significant.

Data regarding GA<sub>3</sub> indicate that yield hac<sup>-1</sup> of peach was found higher (15.548 tons) when plants were sprayed with 80 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub> which was statistically different from yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.115 tons) when plants were sprayed with 60 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. The minimum yield hac<sup>-1</sup> (13.137 tons) was recorded in plants received no GA<sub>3</sub>.

Data about KNO<sub>3</sub> shows that maximum yield hac<sup>-1</sup> (14.829 tons) was noted when plants were sprayed

with 3000 ppm of KNO<sub>3</sub> which was significantly different from yield hac<sup>-1</sup> (14.637 tons) when KNO<sub>3</sub> was sprayed on plants with 2000 ppm. The minimum yield hac<sup>-1</sup> (14.206 tons) was recorded in control treatments.

In present research we recorded the increase in yield with the increase in the concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>. These findings are supported by the finding of Maryam and Sana [27] who found the increase in yield per hectare when they carried an experiment on the influence of plant growth regulators on performance of plum. This may be due to the fact that plant growth regulators GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> act as ethylene inhibitor due to which softening of fruit do not occur and fruits get good size and weight which ultimately enhance the yield per hectare.

**Table 4.9. Yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (tons) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Potassium Nitrate (ppm)	Gibberellic acid (ppm)					Mean
	0	20	40	60	80	
0	12.87	13.58	14.58	14.90	15.10	14.206D
1000	12.99	13.95	14.79	15.00	15.39	14.424C
2000	13.20	14.07	14.99	15.19	15.73	14.637B
3000	13.49	14.30	15.01	15.37	15.97	14.829A
Mean	13.137E	14.042D	14.84375C	15.115B	15.548A	

Means followed by different letters are statistically dissimilar at 1% significance level.

LSD value for levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 510

LSD value for levels of KNO<sub>3</sub> at 1% level of probability = 170

**Table 4.9a. Analysis of variance table for yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (tons) of peach as affected by application of GA<sub>3</sub> and potassium nitrate.**

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Blocks	2	305906	152953		
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	2417818	604455	2.23	0.0039
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3	48477.9	16159	0.06	0.0086
GA <sub>3</sub> x KNO <sub>3</sub>	12	5587395	465616	1.72	0.1014
Error	38	1.03007	271055		
Total	59	1.86607			

CV 3.84%

**Conclusions:** Keeping in view the results obtained from the experiment, it is concluded that the yield and quality of peach (cv. Early grande) increased with increasing levels of GA<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>. Application of GA<sub>3</sub> at the rate of 80 and 3000 ppm increased the quality and yield of peach.

## REFERENCES

- Shakeel MS, Salih AJ & Rahman A (2017). Effect of foliar application of plant growth regulators on orange. *Proc Fla Sta Hort Soc* 101: 59-65.
- Byers RE, Costa G & Vizzotto G (2003). Flower and fruit thinning of peach and other Prunus. *Hort Rev* 28(3): 285-292.
- Lurie S & Crisosto CH (2005). Chilling injury in peach and nectarine. *Post-harvest bio tech* 37(3): 195-208.
- Facteau TJ, Rowe KE & Chestnut NE (1985). Firmness of sweet cherry fruit following multiple applications of gibberellic acid. *J Amer Soc Hort Sci* 11: 131-139.
- Thomas S, Rieu T & Steber C (2005). Gibberellin metabolism and signaling. In: Litwack, G. Vitamins and Hormones, Elsevier Academic Press, London, UK. pp. 289-338.
- Sharma, S.K. and O. Pratima. 2018. Effect of Pre-Harvest Gibberellic Acid and Calcium Application on Post-Harvest Behaviour of Subtropical Peaches. *Agric. Res. J.* 6(1): 78-84.
- Stern RA & Ben-Arie R (2009). GA<sub>3</sub> inhibits flowering, reduces hand-thinning, and increases fruit size in peach and nectarine. *The J Hort Sci Biotech* 84(2): 119-124.
- Zilka S, Lurie S, Lapsker Z, Zuthi Y, David I, Yesselson Y, Antman S & Ben-Arie R (1997). The ripening and storage quality of nectarine fruits in response to pre-harvest application of gibberellic acid. *J Hort Sci* 72: 355-362.
- Weksler A, Dagar A, Friedman H & Lurie S (2011). The effect of gibberellin on firmness and storage potential of peaches and nectarines. *Acta Hort* 21(3): 191-201.
- Matzner F & Maurer E (1975). Mineral Nutrition of Fruit Crops. Naya Prakash Calcutta (India). 602-616 p
- Ben MM, Ghrab M, Ghanem M & Elloumi O (2009). Effects of potassium foliar spray on olive, peach and plum. *Acta Hort* 586: 507-513.
- Sajid M & Nasir N (2007). Potassium and Gibberellin effect on apple metabolism. Elsevier Academic Press, London (UK). 189-194 p
- Ries SK, Wert V & Sweetley CC (1977). Tricentanol: A new naturally occurring growth regulator. *J Hort Sci* 21(3): 33-41.
- Ruiz R (2006). Effects of different potassium fertilizers on yield, fruit quality and nutritional status of 'Fairlane' nectarine trees and on soil fertility. *Acta Hort* 32: 85-90.
- Albrigo LG, Claypool LL & Uriu K (1966). The effect of nitrogen level on development and maturity of royal apricot fruit. *Proc Amer Soc Hort Sci* 89: 53-60.
- Boman BJ (2001). Foliar nutrient sprays influence yield and size of Valencia orange. *Proc Fla Sta Hort Soc* 114: 83-88.
- Steel RGD & Torrie JA (1997). Principle and procedures of statistics, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. McGraw Hill (USA). 183-193 p
- Sankar AMR., Usey AS & Habib HAM (1998). Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of "Le conte" pear. *Res J Agric Biol Sci* 6(2): 161-186.
- Ahmad N & Sharma U (2007). Influence of GA<sub>3</sub> KNO<sub>3</sub> and I.A.A on performance of strawberry. *Orient J Chem* 26:1353-1360.
- Ingram GD, Stirk WA & Van-Staden J (2007). Effect of concentration of I.B.A, KNO<sub>3</sub> and GA<sub>3</sub> on the growth and yield of three varieties of peach (*Pronus persica*). *S Afr J Bot* 69:207-211.
- Nomis P, Shahi M & Mahil O (2001). Improvement of growth, yield and chemical composition of Apple (*Pyrus malus*). *J Aust Inst Agric Sci* 46:23-29.
- Mosa GN, Tariq S, Haque SE, Athar M, Sultana V & Ara J (2009). Effect of plant growth regulators

- as a foliar spray on growth and yield of quince. *J Appl Bot Food Qual* 86: 138-142.
22. Kundo K, Yogendra ND, Zodape ST, Prakash R & Ghosh A (2013). Effect of foliar application of KNO<sub>3</sub> and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and yield of quince. *J Plant Nutri* 13(14): 1851-1861.
23. Shahid UR & Aziz TN (2007). Effect of PGRs concentration on the establishment and yield of apple (*Pyrus malus*) plants. *J Appl Phycology* 4: 291-296.
24. Azlan S, Sabina MI & Duke JA (2019). Influence of concentrations and number of applications of I.B.A, KNO<sub>3</sub> and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth, quality and yield of pear. *J Appl Phycol* 21:633–639.
25. Usama MM & Nida D (1996). Effect of KNO<sub>3</sub> and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and production of kiwifruit. *J Appl Phycol* 23:251–255.
26. Essa S, Chername H, Mansori M & Kaousa ME (2004). PGRs effect on physiological and biochemical parameters of passion fruit. *European Sci J* 9: 7431-7881.
27. Maryam E & Sana OM (2015). Testing the influence of PGRs on overall performance of plum. *Egyptian J Phycol* 12.